REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

DOUBLE PATENTING I.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-6 under the judicially created A. doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,505,627.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer for the cited patent is submitted herewith.

With regard to the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,9

Briggs and Morgan, P.A.

2400 IDS Center

80 South Eighth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 334-8480